

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/380,932	01/18/2000	GREG FIRTH	28911/35930	3270		
7590 02/12/2004 MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER			
			LACOURCIERE, KAREN A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1635			
CHICAGO, IL	606066402		DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/380,932	FIRTH, GREG				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karen A. Lacourciere	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the first set of the control	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S .			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply bas overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
		Karen A. Lacourcie	re			

Advisory Action

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 19 adds an additional step to the claims method, which would require a further search and considerations. The proposed amendment which cancels claim 17 introduces new considerations under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because claim 19 would depend on a canceled claim.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered, Applicant's reply would overcome the rejection of calims 19 and 20 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and the rejections of record of claims 11, 12, 14, 21 and 27 which are canceled.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended, however the proposed claim amendments have not been entered. Applicant argues that the amendments to claim 16 incorporate all of the limitations of claim 17, which was objected to as dependent on a rejected claim, and therefore claim 16 and claims depending therefrom are allowable. This has not been found to be persuasive because the amendments to claim 16 do not incorporate a of the limitations of prior claim 17, specifically, claim 17 included closed language, (the word "is" in line two of claim 17) wheras claim 16, as amended, remains open, using the term "consists essentially of", and does not overcome the rejection of record.

KAREN A. LACOURCIERE, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER